1							
2	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT						
3	WESTERN DISTRICT OF WASHINGTON						
4	AT SEATTLE						
5	Victoria Gehl, individually and on) No.: _					
6	behalf of all others similarly situated,						
7	Plaintiff,	CLASS ACTION COMPLAINT					
8	VS.						
9) DEMAND FOR JURY TRIAL					
10	Einemaial Assistance Inc. and John Doos						
11	Financial Assistance Inc. and John Does)					
12	1-25.						
13	Defendant(s)						
14	Plaintiff Victoria Gehl ("Plaintiff"), by and through her attorneys, Brubake						
15							
16	Law Group PLLC, as and for her	Complaint against Defendants Financial					
17	Assistance, Inc. ("Defendant FAI"), individually and on behalf of a class of all others						
18							
19							
20	upon information and belief of Plaintiff's counsel, except for allegations specifically						
21	pertaining to Plaintiff, which are based upon Plaintiff's personal knowledge.						
22	r	I a man I a man man Bara					
23							
24							
25							
26							
27							
28							
	COMPLAINT 1	Brubaker Law Group PLLC					

INTRODUCTION/PRELIMINARY STATEMENT

- 1. Congress enacted the Fair Debt Collection Practices Act (the "FDCPA") in 1977 in response to the "abundant evidence of the use of abusive, deceptive, and unfair debt collection practices by many debt collectors." 15 U.S.C. §1692(a). At that time, Congress was concerned that "abusive debt collection practices contribute to the number of personal bankruptcies, to material instability, to the loss of jobs, and to invasions of individual privacy." *Id.* Congress concluded that "existing laws...[we]re inadequate to protect consumers," and that "the effective collection of debts' does not require 'misrepresentation or other abusive debt collection practices." 15 U.S.C. §§ 1692(b) & (c).
- 2. Congress explained that the purpose of the Act was not only to eliminate abusive debt collection practices, but also to "insure that those debt collectors who refrain from using abusive debt collection practices are not competitively disadvantaged." Id. § 1692(e). "After determining that the existing consumer protection laws 'were inadequate." Id. § 1692(b), Congress gave consumers a private cause of action against debt collectors who fail to comply with the Act. Id. § 1692k.

- 8. Upon information and belief, Defendant FAI is a company that uses the mail, telephone, and facsimile and regularly engages in business the principal purpose of which is to attempt to collect debts alleged to be due another.
- 9. John Does 1-25, are fictitious names of individuals and businesses alleged for the purpose of substituting names of Defendants whose identities will be disclosed in discovery and should be made parties to this action.

CLASS ALLEGATIONS

- 10. Plaintiff brings this claim on behalf of the following case, pursuant to Fed. R. Civ. P. 23(a) and 23(b)(3).
 - 11. The Class consists of:
 - a. all individuals with addresses in the State of Washington;
 - b. to whom Defendant FAI sent an initial collection letter attempting to collect a consumer debt;
 - c. that included threats that the Defendant may submit a negative credit bureau report, overshadowing the "g-notice";
 - d. and states that the creditor has certified the balance;

e. which letter was sent on or after a date one (1) year prior to the filing of this action and on or before a date twenty-one (21) days after the filing of this action.

- 12. The identities of all class members are readily ascertainable from the records of Defendants and those companies and entities on whose behalf they attempt to collect and/or have purchased debts.
- 13. Excluded from the Plaintiff Class are the Defendants and all officer, members, partners, managers, directors and employees of the Defendants and their respective immediate families, and legal counsel for all parties to this action, and all members of their immediate families.
- 14. There are questions of law and fact common to the Plaintiff Class, which common issues predominate over any issues involving only individual class members. The principal issue is whether the Defendants' written communications to consumers, in the forms attached as Exhibit A, violate 15 U.S.C. §§ 1692e and 1692g.
- 15. The Plaintiff's claims are typical of the class members, as all are based upon the same facts and legal theories. The Plaintiff will fairly and adequately protect the interests of the Plaintiff Class defined in this complaint. The Plaintiff has retained counsel with experience in handling consumer lawsuits, complex legal issues, and class actions, and neither the Plaintiff nor her attorneys have any interests, which might cause them not to vigorously pursue this action.

- 16. This action has been brought, and may properly be maintained, as a class action pursuant to the provisions of Rule 23 of the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure because there is a well-defined community interest in the litigation:
 - a. <u>Numerosity:</u> The Plaintiff is informed and believes, and on that basis alleges, that the Plaintiff Class defined above is so numerous that joinder of all members would be impractical.
 - b. <u>Common Questions Predominate:</u> Common questions of law and fact exist as to all members of the Plaintiff Class and those questions predominance over any questions or issues involving only individual class members. The principal issue is whether the Defendants' written communications to consumers, in the forms attached as Exhibit A violate 15 USC §1692e and 1692g.
 - c. <u>Typicality:</u> The Plaintiff's claims are typical of the claims of the class members. The Plaintiffs and all members of the Plaintiff
 Class have claims arising out of the Defendants' common uniform course of conduct complained of herein.
 - d. <u>Adequacy:</u> The Plaintiff will fairly and adequately protect the interests of the class members insofar as Plaintiff have no interests that are adverse to the absent class members. The Plaintiff is committed to vigorously litigating this matter. Plaintiff has also

retained counsel experienced in handling consumer lawsuits, complex legal issues, and class actions. Neither the Plaintiff nor her counsel have any interests which might cause them not to vigorously pursue the instant class action lawsuit.

- e. <u>Superiority:</u> A class action is superior to the other available means for the fair and efficient adjudication of this controversy because individual joinder of all members would be impracticable. Class action treatment will permit a large number of similarly situated persons to prosecute their common claims in a single forum efficiently and without unnecessary duplication of effort and expense that individual actions would engender.
- 17. Certification of a class under Rule 23(b)(3) of the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure is also appropriate in that the questions of law and fact common to members of the Plaintiff Class predominate over any questions affecting an individual member, and a class action is superior to other available methods for the fair and efficient adjudication of the controversy.
- 18. Depending on the outcome of further investigation and discovery, Plaintiff may, at the time of class certification motion, seek to certify a class(es) only as to particular issues pursuant to Fed. R. Civ. P. 23(c)(4).

FACTUAL ALLEGATIONS 1 2 Plaintiff repeats, reiterates and incorporates the allegations contained 19. 3 in paragraphs numbered above herein with the same force and effect as if the 4 5 same were set forth at length herein. 6 20. Some time prior to October 25, 2019, an obligation was allegedly 7 incurred to Salal Credit Union by Plaintiff. 8 9 21. The Salal Credit Union obligation arose out of transactions in which 10 money, property, insurance or services which are the subject of the transactions 11 12 were primarily for personal, family or household purposes. 13 The alleged Salal Credit Union obligation is a "debt" as defined by 15 22. 14 U.S.C. §1692a(5). 15 16 Salal Credit Union is a "creditor" as defined by 15 U.S.C. §1692a(4). 23. 17 Defendant FAI was contracted by Salal Credit Union to collect the 24. 18 19 alleged debt. 20 Defendants collect and attempt to collect debts incurred or alleged to 25. 21 have been incurred for personal, family or household purposes on behalf of 22 23 creditors using the United States Postal Services, telephone and internet. 24 *Violation I – October 25, 2019 Collection Letter* 25 26 27 28 **COMPLAINT** 8

Exhibit	\mathbf{A} .
collectio	n letter (the "Letter") regarding the alleged debt currently owed. See
26.	On or about October 25, 2019, Defendant FAI sent Plaintiff an initial

- 27. When a debt collector solicits payment from a consumer, it must, within five days of an initial communication
 - (1) the amount of the debt;
 - (2) the name of the creditor to whom the debt is owed;
 - (3) a statement that unless the consumer, within thirty days after receipt of the notice, disputes the validity of the debt, or any portion thereof, the debt will be assumed to be valid by the debt collector;
 - (4) a statement that if the consumer notifies the debt collector in writing within the thirty-day period that the debt, or any portion thereof, is disputed, the debt collector will obtain verification of the debt or a copy of the judgment against the consumer and a copy of such verification or judgment will be mailed to the consumer by the debt collector; and
 - (5) a statement that, upon the consumer's written request within the thirty-day period, the debt collector will provide the consumer with the name and address of the original creditor, if different from the current creditor. 15 U.S.C. § 1692g(a).

COMPLAINT

- 28. The FDCPA further provides that "if the consumer notifies the debt collector in writing within the thirty day period . . . that the debt, or any portion thereof, is disputed . . . the debt collector shall cease collection . . . until the debt collector obtains verification of the debt . . . and a copy of such verification is mailed to the consumer by the debt collector." 15 U.S.C. § 1692g(b).
- 29. Although a collection letter may track the statutory language, "the collector nevertheless violates the Act if it conveys that information in a confusing or contradictory fashion so as to cloud the required message with uncertainty." Russell v. EQUIFAX A.R.S., 74 F.3d 30, 35 (2d Cir. 1996) ("It is not enough for a debt collection agency to simply include the proper debt validation notice in a mailing to a consumer-- Congress intended that such notice be clearly conveyed."). Put differently, a notice containing "language that 'overshadows or contradicts' other language informing a consumer of her rights . . . violates the Act." Russell, 74 F.3d at 34.
- 30. The letter states: We are a licensed collection agency and your account with SALAL CREDIT UNION has been assigned to us for collection. The above creditor has certified your balance and has authorized us to take whatever remedies necessary to collect your balance of \$776.81. Information about your account may be reported to credit bureaus. Late payments, missed payments, or other defaults on your account may be reflected in your credit

report. Your failure to resolve this problem with the above creditor has resulted in your name being turned over to a licensed collection agency."

- 31. This language completely overshadows the "G-Notice" by scaring Plaintiff into making payment immediately to avoid negative credit reporting instead of exercising her statutory right to dispute the debt as provided by the FDCPA.
- 32. This language is threatening to the consumer and coerces payment from the consumer by making threats during the initial thirty-day period.
- 33. Moreover, the letter states: "The above creditor has certified your balance..." which implies that the balance has been certified and accurate.
- 34. This statement could easily confuse and deceive the least sophisticated consumer to believe that there is no need to dispute the debt, because according to the letter, the balance has been "certified."
- 35. The Defendants have failed to provide the consumer with a statutorily compliant initial communication letter.
- 36. Plaintiff has suffered an informational injury as she was not provided with the information statutorily required to be included in the initial communication letter from Defendant.
- 37. As a result, Plaintiff could not make an informed decision regarding his rights and options involving the alleged debt.

38. As a result of Defendant's deceptive, misleading and unfair debt collection practices, Plaintiff has been damaged.

COUNT I VIOLATIONS OF THE FAIR DEBT COLLECTION PRACTICES ACT 15 U.S.C. §1692e et seq.

- 39. Plaintiff repeats, reiterates and incorporates the allegations contained in paragraphs above herein with the same force and effect as if the same were set forth at length herein.
- 40. Defendant's debt collection efforts attempted and/or directed towards the Plaintiff violated various provisions of the FDCPA, including but not limited to 15 U.S.C. § 1692e.
- 41. Pursuant to 15 U.S.C. §1692e, a debt collector may not use any false, deceptive, or misleading representation or means in connection with the collection of any debt.
 - 42. Defendant violated said section by:
 - a. Making a false and misleading representation in violation of §1692e(10).
- 43. By reason thereof, Defendant is liable to Plaintiff for judgment that Defendant's conduct violated Section 1692e et seq. of the FDCPA, actual damages, statutory damages, costs and attorneys' fees.

44.

COMPLAINT

COUNT II VIOLATIONS OF THE FAIR DEBT COLLECTION PRACTICES ACT 15 U.S.C. §1692g et seq.

- 45. Plaintiff repeats, reiterates and incorporates the allegations contained in paragraphs above herein with the same force and effect as if the same were set forth at length herein.
- 46. Defendant's debt collection efforts attempted and/or directed towards the Plaintiff violated various provisions of the FDCPA, including but not limited to 15 U.S.C. § 1692g.
 - 47. Pursuant to 15 USC §1692g, a debt collector:

Within five days after the initial communication with a consumer in connection with the collection of any debt, a debt collector shall, unless the following information is contained in the initial communication or the consumer has paid the debt, send the consumer a written notice containing –

- 1. The amount of the debt;
- 2. The name of the creditor to whom the debt is owed;
- 3. A statement that unless the consumer, within thirty days after receipt of the notice, disputes the validity of the debt, or any portion thereof, the debt will be assumed to be valid by the debt-collector;

- 4. A statement that the consumer notifies the debt collector in writing within thirty-day period that the debt, or any portion thereof, is disputed, the debt collector will obtain verification of the debt or a copy of a judgment against the consumer and a copy of such verification or judgment will be mailed to the consumer by the debt collector; and
- 5. A statement that, upon the consumer's written request within the thirty-day period, the debt collector will provide the consumer with the name and address of the original creditor, if different from the current creditor.
- The Defendant violated 15 U.S.C. §1692g, by threatening negative 48. credit reporting, which overshadows the "g-notice" language and coerces the consumer not to exert its rights under the FDCPA.
- 49. By reason thereof, Defendant is liable to Plaintiff for judgment that Defendant's conduct violated Section 1692g et seq. of the FDCPA, actual damages, statutory damages, costs and attorneys' fees.

DEMAND FOR TRIAL BY JURY

50. Pursuant to Rule 38 of the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure, Plaintiff hereby requests a trial by jury on all issues so triable. 14

COMPLAINT

1						
2		D	RAYER FOR RE	I IEE		
3		<u>1</u>	KATER FOR RE	<u> LIEF</u>		
4	WHEREF	WHEREFORE, Plaintiff Victoria Gehl, individually and on behalf of all others				
5	similarly situated, demands judgment from Defendant FAI as follows:					
6						
7	1.	Declaring that this	action is properly n	maintainable as a Class Action		
8 9	and certifying Plaintiff as Class representative, and Michael Brubaker, Esq. as					
10	Class Counsel;					
11	2. Awarding Plaintiff and the Class statutory damages;					
1213	3. Awarding Plaintiff and the Class actual damages;					
14	4.	Awarding Plaintiff	costs of this Action	n, including reasonable		
15	attorneys' fees and expenses;					
1617	5.	Awarding pre-judg	ment interest and p	ost-judgment interest; and		
18	6.		-	other and further relief as this		
19	0.	Tiwaranig Tianiani	and the Class such	outer and further refler as ans		
20	Court may deem just and proper.					
21						
22	DATED this 9 th day of July, 2020.					
23				D (C.11 1 1) (1 1		
24				Respectfully submitted, By: <u>/s Michael</u>		
25				<u>Brubaker</u>		
26				Michael Brubaker, WSBA #49804		
27				Brubaker Law Group		
28	0010	DI AINITE	1.5	PLLC		
	COMI	PLAINT	15	Brubaker Law Group PLLC 14506 NE 184 th Pl Woodinville, WA 98072		

206-335-8746

 $michael @\,brubaker law group.com$

1		14506 NE 184 th Pl
2		Woodinville, WA 98072 (206) 335-8746
3		michael@brubakerlawgr oup.com
4		
5		
6		
7 8		
9		
10		
11		
12		
13		
14		
15		
16		
17		
18		
19		
20		
21		
22		
23		
24		
25		
26		
27		
28		
	001 (DT 17) (F)	

COMPLAINT